Emerging Infectious Diseases of Amphibians and Reptiles: Implications for NJ Herpetofauna





By Paola Dolcemascolo, Ph.D.







Why Herpetofauna?

• Do not disperse long distances so they cannot migrate to avoid disturbance

• Life stages require use of different microhabitats, so exposed to multiple types of disturbance



• Collected and smuggled often intensively



• Integral parts of ecosystems

Coolness factor

Amphibian Declines





- IUCN data: 42% of all amphibian species show declines in their numbers, so number of threatened species will most likely increase in the future

- Habitat loss and pollution play major roles in declines, HOWEVER emerging infectious diseases are major contributors

Wildlife Disease - Chytridiomycosis



• Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd)

- Chytrid fungus with motile zoospores
- Cause of chytridiomycosis



Wildlife Disease - Chytridiomycosis

http://www.scienceimage.csiro.au/index.cfm?event=site.image.detail&id=594

• Preferred substrate is keratin



• Sensitive to temperature and moisture





Wildlife Disease -Chytridiomycosis

• In some cases, massive die-offs, in others frogs persist with endemic Bd



Die-offs most commonly associated with pristine areas

•

- Ranavirus
 - Type species is Frog Virus-3 (FV-3), but found in many different types of species
 - Possibly originated in fish



http://webspace.qmul.ac.uk/ranichols/ research.htm









• Can infect as enveloped or un-enveloped



- No clear link between population or environmental characteristics and probability of Ranavirus presence

Pond-breeding species?



Anthropogenic activity?

Warmer or cooler Temperatures?





- Die-offs not restricted to pristine areas

- Die-offs occur at a much more rapid rate, often with close to 100% mortality after less than 2 weeks

- Disease spread through a variety of mechanisms, many related to human activities



- Different life stages can serve as reservoirs
- Eating infected tissue drastically speeds up time to death



Objectives

- Monsen-Collar *et al.* (2010) documented the first case of Bd in New Jersey, at the NJ School of Conservation (NJSOC)

Objective 1. To determine the extent of Bd at the NJSOC and throughout the state of NJ

- In 2011, we were alerted to a mass tadpole die-off in Ocean County, NJ, with characteristics similar to Ranavirus outbreaks

Objective 2. To determine whether this die-off was due to Ranavirus and to document the extent of this disease throughout the state of NJ

Materials and Methods

- Amphibians were retrieved by net or by hand in wetlands chosen in collaboration with NJ Division of Fish and Wildlife Endangered and Non-game Species Program

- Wetlands chosen based on accessibility and proximity to NJ Calling Amphibian Monitoring Project (CAMP) sites

- Bd only adults and metamorphs sampled (preferred substrate for Bd is keratin, and keratin only found on mouthparts of tadpoles)

- Ranavirus attempt to sample any life stage, but tadpoles most often sampled (evidence that tadpoles most dramatically affected)

Bd Sampling

- In June and July of 2010, 200 samples were collected from the NJSOC (Sussex County) and a total of 72 from Cape May County

- In 2011, samples were obtained from throughout the state

Bd samples - 2011				
County	Month	Number		
Cape May	May	20		
	April	63		
Atlantic	April	19		
Burlington	April	17		
Ocean	June	40		
Salem	May	56		
	June	28		
Passaic	April	13		
	June	6		
Sussex	April	19		
	May	92		
Morris	April	11		
	June	43		
Middlesex	April	27		
Monmouth	April	28		
Mercer	April	13		
Somerset	April	11		

Ranavirus sampling



- After the suspected outbreak in Ocean County, sampling for Ranavirus was carried out throughout the state

Ranavirus Samples (2011-2013)				
County	Month	Number		
Ocean	May/June 2011	114		
	May 2012	24		
Camden	June 2012	June 2012 10		
Morris	Ameil 2011 22			
WIOTTIS	April 2011	52		
	June 2013	30		
Sussex	April 2011	140		
	June 2013	66		
Passaic	June 2011	3		
	June 2013	30		
Monmouth	April 2011	15		
Mercer	April 2011 12			
Middlesex	April 2011	7		
Cape May	April 2011 12			
Warren	June 2013	June 2013 120		

Disease Sampling

- Swabs for Bd; tissue samples for Ranavirus









Suspected Ranavirus Outbreaks



- Particular attention was paid to Stafford Business Park in Ocean County...

....and the NJSOC



Assessment of Disease Presence



- Bd RT-PCR only

- Ranavirus Both RT-PCR and Traditional PCR



Results and Discussion

- Samples from 2010 and 2011 for Bd tested Negative

- Negative results not so great for a publications, etc....but Yay! Does this mean amphibians in NJ are safe from disease?



Results and Discussion

- Nope.

Die-offs at SBP
and NJSOC
consistent with
Ranavirus outbreaks



SBP





NJSOC



First Documented Case of Ranavirus in NJ



- In 2011 Hay Pond (0/18), Beach Pond (0/2), MF Ponds (4/17 and 0/11), Mitigation Pond (26/48), Costco Pond (2/13), Spotted Pond (0/2)

Dead southern Leopard frog only adult to test positive

On first trip. *A. fowleri* tadpoles were healthy, on second trip, we observed die-offs

In 2012 Total of 24 samples
collected from Hay Pond, Costco Pond
and Beach Pond; 16 positive, including
Hay Pond and Beach Pond (negative in 2011)

Traditional PCR vs. RT-PCR



- Collected environmental samples at SBP let Fowler's toads "swim" in water in Eppendorf tube, released tadpole but kept water

- Out of 14 samples, 0 tested positive with traditional PCR

Traditional PCR vs. RT-PCR

- With RT-PCR, 8 of those 14 tested positive for Ranavirus



Positive Control

Sample positive from the NJSOC

Traditional PCR vs. RT-PCR

Site	Total samples collected	Postives with traditional PCR	Positives with RT-PCR
Stafford (2011)	114	24	32
NJSOC (2013)	6	1	4

- RT-PCR more sensitive for detecting presence of lower viral loads and in environmental samples (absence of tissue)

- All further samples screened with RT-PCR



Current Extent of Ranavirus in NJ

- Total of 11 sites in the state

- 3 sites in Sussex County
- 4 sites in Warren County
- 1 site in Morris County
- 1 site in Passaic County
- 1 site in Camden County
- 1 site in Ocean County

Management Implications



- SBP used by Pine Barrens Tree frogs state threatened

Disjunct population



- SBP also home to Fowler's toads species of special concern

- NJSOC site home to obligate vernal pool breeders

- Jefferson's salamander (species of special concern); within the range of endangered Blue-spotted salamander



Management Implications



- SBP managed for the benefit of threatened northern pine snake

- Ranavirus has been shown to infect reptiles, including snakes

Local population could be at risk

Could act as reservoirs for the disease

But wait! There's more...Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans



- Pet trade

- Population declines of over 90% - First described in 2013

Originated in Asia, infected
Fire salamanders (*Salamandra* salamandra) in Netherlands,
Belgium, Germany, UK



Photo : © UGent – Salamandre tachetée infectée par Bsal

New threat – Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans



- Abnormal body posture & behavior; anorexia, apathy - Deep ulcerations all along skin; ulcerations often colonized by opportunistic pathogens



New threat – Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans



- Alpine newts and Smooth newts also infected

- Death has occurred in as little as 3-7 days after infection

- 2 healthy salamanders in tank with an infected salamander one died 22 days, the other 27 days after contact

New threat – Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans



- Lower thermal preference than Bd optimal growth between 10 and 15 degrees C

- Bsal results in ULCERATIONS (necrosis and loss of tissue);

* Bd results in Hyperplasia and Hyperkeratosis (thickening of tissue)

Management Implications



World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) has listed Ranavirus and Bd as "notifiable" diseases (mandatory reporting and prevention of the spread of disease)

- DECONTAMINATION is essential – 3% bleach solution for 1 minute

Management Implications - Bsal

- North America is a hotspot for salamander biodiversity (over 50% of world's species);
 - * USA = 190 species
 * Mexico = 137 species
 * Canada = 21 species

- As of 2016, no reported cases of Bsal in US ban has been implemented



Conclusions

- Because herpetofauna often have limited dispersal abilities, survival strategy may be to tolerate some level of human disturbance

Proper understanding of the consequences of human activities to appropriately manage wildlife in the presence of disturbance

- Results of amphibian disease study have been shared with state wildlife biologists and environmental educators to stress the importance of decontamination

- Loss of amphibians could lead to compromised ecosystems, which could in turn lead to compromised ecosystem services

Acknowledgements

- The Lustigman Family
- Dr. Lee and the Department of Biology and Molecular Biology
- Dr. Kirsten Monsen-Collar
- Drs. Lisa Hazard, John Smallwood, Bill Thomas, Randall Fitzgerald, Timothy L. King (USGS)
- Holly Niederriter, Non-game Wildlife Biologist, Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, DNREC
- Bob Zappalorti and Herpetological Associates



- Karena DiLeo, NJ DFW
- Kim Korth, Dave Golden and the NJDFW



EPA Announces New Initiative To Conserve Whatever's Left

NEWS · Environment · Government · News · ISSUE 50·13 · Apr 2, 2014



EPA administrator Gina McCarthy says her agency is fully committed to saving all types of flora and fauna, provided any of them still exist.